

1. Introduction

- Matching local image features (keypoint location + descriptor) for
 - 3D reconstruction
 - Simultaneous Localisation and Mapping (SLAM)
- Challenges due to severe geometric transformations
 - Different scales
 - Different viewpoints

Camera 1

3. Proposed approach: MORB

- 1. Multi-scale detection



References

[1] Rublee, E. et al., "ORB: an efficient alternative to SIFT and SURF," in ICCV, 2011. [2] Lowe, D.G., "Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints," in IJCV, 2004. [3] Hassner, T. et al., "Sifting through scales," in TPAMI, 2017.

MORB: A MULTI-SCALE BINARY DESCRIPTOR Alessio Xompero¹, Oswald Lanz², Andrea Cavallaro¹ ¹Queen Mary University of London (UK), ²Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Trento (Italy) 2. Related work Multi-scale keypoint localisation (detection) Independent for each scale (image pyramid) [1] Scale-invariant [2] Scene Descriptor representation • Single scale (detection scale) • Multi scale (estimation across all scales) Camera 2 **4. Experimental results** MORB multi-scale descriptor and cross-scale matching Datasets Planar image sets with geometric/photometric changes Reference image Oxford ACRD [6] amid Heinly's dataset [7] S Target Performance measures images Matching score [7]: # correct matches # features ag Precision: # correct matches Scales: *# total matches # correct matches* Recall: *# total true matches* F-score: 2 Precision × Recall Precision+Recall Area under curve (AUC) [4] by varying Hamming distance threshold [6] **Comparisons (detector/descriptor)** Rotated ORB (ORB) ORB [1] / ORB [1] pattern S_{θ} [1] (sLATCH) SIFT [2] / LATCH [8] MORB / ORB [1] (cORB) MORB / LATCH [8] (oLATCH) MORB / independent ORB [1] (ORB-ALL) Nearest neighbor similarity matching Results —ORB — sLATCH — cORB — oLATCH — ORB-ALL — MORB venice [7] *bark* [6] Features matching at different scales 0.6 0.4 ()0.2 Features not matching at the detection scale 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.2 Scale noatio betwoeen two views 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 Scale ratio between two views Scale ratio between two views



[4] Dong, J. and Soatto, S., "Domain-size pooling in local descriptors: DSP-SIFT," in CVPR, 2015. Scales," in CVPR, 2016.

[6] Mikolajczyk, K. and Schimd, C., "A Performance Evaluation of Local Descriptors," in TPAMI, 2005. [5] Yang, T.-Y., et al., "Accumulated Stability Voting: A Robust Descriptor from Descriptors of Multiple [7] Heinly, J. et al., "Comparative Evaluation of Binary Features", in ECCV, 2012. [8] Levi, G. and Hassner, T., "LATCH: Learned Arrangements of Three Patch Codes," in WACV, 2016.





.35 .51 .31 .32 .28 .35 leuven

.28 .23 .25 .23 .24 **.30** Oxford avg. [6]