Averaging of Crowd Attention

Joe Florey

Supervisor: Isabelle Mareschal





Gaze perception

Another person's gaze is an important social cue

What about the attention of a crowd?

Greater influence on attention than an individual face (Gallup 2012 & Milgram 1969)



© StockFreeImages.com

centre for intelligent sensing





© StockFreeImages.com

9459654



- We are sensitive to the average of visual properties of objects
- Size (Ariely 2001)

elliaent sensina

- Orientation (Dakin 1997)
- People typically subsample \rightarrow use \sqrt{N} of N elements
- Some evidence that facial features can be averaged: Emotion & Identity (Whitney et al 2013/2014)









- To see what limits averaging group attention using equivalent noise analysis
- Are there differences between head rotation and gaze deviation?
- Do people sample equally across an array or are they biased by certain elements?





Internal noise

The uncertainty with which we judge a single element







Sampling efficiency

The number of elements we use in our average





Equivalent noise





Stimuli





Task: Are the faces on average looking to your left or to your right (300ms presentation)?



+ve = Rightwards



Equivalent Noise Fit



Results













- We can average head rotation with less *internal noise* and greater *sampling efficiency* than gaze deviation
- We seem to be unable to average gaze deviation
 Most likely due to limits of peripheral processing
- We are biased towards samples from the centre of a group
- When judging the direction of attention of a crowd we are most influenced by the head rotation of individuals towards the centre



